| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/518,741 | PLATZER, WILFRIED |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Brenda A. Lamb | 1734 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>Brenda A. Lamb</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Attorney Kocovsky. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 28 September 2006 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: NONE | | |
| Claims discussed: 1,4 and 6 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL Attorney Kocovsky agreed to changes in order to obviate 112 pro | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare | e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | • |
| | | |
| | | |
| | · | |
| Bread-Chil. Link | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |
| | | |